

Description of surfaces associated with Grassmannian sigma models on Minkowski space

A. M. Grundland*

Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal,
C. P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada
Université du Québec, Trois-Rivières CP500 (QC) G9A 5H7, Canada

and

L. Šnobl†

Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal,
C. P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada
Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering,
Czech Technical University,
Břehová 7, 115 19 Prague 1, Czech Republic

Abstract

We construct and investigate smooth orientable surfaces in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebras. The structural equations of surfaces associated with Grassmannian sigma models on Minkowski space are studied using moving frames adapted to the surfaces. The first and second fundamental forms of these surfaces as well as the relations between them as expressed in the Gauss–Weingarten and Gauss–Codazzi–Ricci equations are found. The scalar curvature and the mean curvature vector expressed in terms of a solution of Grassmannian sigma model are obtained.

*email address: grundlan@crm.umontreal.ca

†email address: Libor.Snobl@fjfi.cvut.cz

Keywords: Sigma models, structural equations of surfaces, Lie algebras.

PACS numbers: 02.40.Hw, 02.20.Sv, 02.30.Ik

1 Introduction

Sigma models are of great interest in mathematical physics because a significant number of physical systems can be reduced to these, relatively simple, models, either on Euclidean or Minkowski space. One such example is the string theory in which sigma models on space-time and their supersymmetric extensions play a crucial role. Other relevant applications of recent interest are in the areas of statistical physics (for example reduction of self-dual Yang–Mills equations to the Ernst model [1, 2]), phase transitions [3, 4] and the theory of fluid membranes [5, 6].

The objective of this paper is to study geometric properties of surfaces in Lie algebras associated with sigma models on Minkowski space. Recently, we investigated surfaces in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ associated with $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models [7] and found a few examples [8]. In this paper we extend this approach to more general models based on Grassmannian manifolds, i.e. the homogeneous spaces

$$G(m, n) = \frac{SU(N)}{S(U(m) \times U(n))}, \quad N = m + n.$$

Grassmannian sigma models are a generalization of $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models. Their important common feature is that the Euler–Lagrange equations can be written in terms of projectors only [9]. They share a lot of properties like infinite number of local and/or nonlocal conserved quantities, Hamiltonian structure, complete integrability, infinite-dimensional symmetry algebra, existence of multisoliton solutions etc. The $N \times N$ projector matrix P for the complex Grassmannian sigma models has in general rank lower than the corresponding one for the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model and consequently new phenomena can arise.

The generalization of our previous results [7, 8] to Grassmannian sigma models seemed to be rather natural – in fact, it was in a sense more straightforward than the generalization from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$, provided one expressed the corresponding formulas in terms of the projector (2.8). On the other hand, a different perspective obtained

in more general case allowed to write some of the results in more compact and presumably more natural way.

The results can be of interest in the area of relativistic classical and quantum field theory [10, 11], string theory in which sigma models on spacetime and their supersymmetric extensions play a crucial role [12]. Other relevant applications of recent interest are in the areas of nonlinear interactions in particle physics [13]. The explicit forms of the surfaces can serve to illuminate the role of the Kac–Moody algebras in integrable models associated with the Grassmannian sigma models [14, 15].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic notions and definitions dealing with the complex Grassmannian sigma models and their Euler–Lagrange equations. In Section 3 we perform the analysis of two–dimensional surfaces immersed in the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra, associated with these models. The geometric properties of surfaces and the construction of moving frames are discussed in detail in Sections 4,5. Finally, we summarize our results.

2 Grassmannian sigma models and their Euler–Lagrange equations

As a starting point let us present some basic formulae and notation for complex Grassmannian sigma models defined on Minkowski space. We adapt to our signature the notation introduced in [9] for Euclidean Grassmannian sigma models.

The Grassmannian manifold is defined as homogeneous space

$$G(m, n) = \frac{SU(N)}{S(U(m) \times U(n))}, \quad N = m + n. \quad (2.1)$$

We express elements $G(m, n)$ using the equivalence classes of elements $g \in SU(N)$ as

$$[g] = \{g \cdot \psi \mid \psi = \begin{pmatrix} U_m & 0 \\ 0 & U_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_m \in U(m), U_n \in U(n), \det \psi = 1\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We decompose $g \in SU(N)$ into submatrices X, Y

$$g = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N) = (X, Y), \quad X = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m), \quad Y = (\phi_{m+1}, \dots, \phi_N) \quad (2.3)$$

and from $g^\dagger g = \mathbf{1}$, i.e. $\phi_j^\dagger \phi_k = \delta_{jk}$ we find

$$X^\dagger X = \mathbf{1}_{m \times m}, \quad X^\dagger Y = 0, \quad Y^\dagger X = 0, \quad Y^\dagger Y = \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}.$$

From these orthogonality relations and (2.2) we realize that on the subset of $G(m, n)$ such that the lower square $n \times n$ submatrix of Y is nonsingular, X itself is sufficient to determine $[g]$ (since U_n can be used to bring the lower square part of Y to $\mathbf{1}_{n \times n}$ and the remaining entries in Y are fully determined by the orthogonality properties). In the following we shall assume that we are working in such chart. Evidently they cover the whole $G(m, n)$ up to lower dimensional submanifolds. We shall denote the equivalence classes either $[X]$ or $[g]$ depending on circumstances. Note that there is still some freedom in the choice of X , namely X and Xh , $h \in \begin{pmatrix} SU(m) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$ give rise to the same equivalence class $[X] = [Xh]$. Therefore, one cannot identify $X = [X]$.

Let ξ^0, ξ^1 be the standard Minkowski coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with the metric

$$(ds)^2 = (d\xi^0)^2 - (d\xi^1)^2.$$

In what follows we suppose that $\xi_L = \xi^0 + \xi^1$, $\xi_R = \xi^0 - \xi^1$ are the light-cone coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e.

$$(ds)^2 = d\xi_L d\xi_R. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall denote by ∂_L and ∂_R the derivatives with respect to ξ_L and ξ_R , respectively.

Let us assume that Ω is an open, connected and simply connected subset in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with Minkowski metric (2.4). We define covariant derivatives D_μ acting on maps $X : \Omega \rightarrow G(m, n)$ by

$$D_\mu X = \partial_\mu X - XX^\dagger \partial_\mu X, \quad \partial_\mu \equiv \partial_{\xi^\mu}, \quad \mu = 0, 1. \quad (2.5)$$

In the study of Grassmannian sigma models we are interested in maps $X : \Omega \rightarrow G(m, n)$ which are stationary points of the action functional

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_\Omega \text{tr} \{ (D_\mu X)^\dagger (D^\mu X) \} d\xi^0 d\xi^1. \quad (2.6)$$

The Lagrangian density can be further developed to get

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{tr} \{ (D_\mu X)^\dagger (D^\mu X) \} = \text{tr} \{ \partial^\mu X (\partial_\mu X)^\dagger P \} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$P = \mathbf{1} - XX^\dagger \quad (2.8)$$

is an orthogonal projector, i.e. $P^2 = P$, $P^\dagger = P$ satisfying $PX = 0$, $X^\dagger P = 0$.

The action (2.6) has the local (gauge) $SU(m)$ symmetry

$$X(\xi_L, \xi_R) \rightarrow X(\xi_L, \xi_R) \cdot h(\xi_L, \xi_R), \quad h(\xi_L, \xi_R) \in \begin{pmatrix} SU(m) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

proving that the model doesn't depend on the choice of representatives X of elements $[X]$ of $G(m, n)$; and the $SU(N)$ global symmetry

$$X \rightarrow gX, \quad g \in SU(N). \quad (2.10)$$

It is also invariant under the conformal transformations

$$\xi_L \rightarrow \alpha(\xi_L), \quad \xi_R \rightarrow \beta(\xi_R), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary 1-to-1 maps such that $\partial_L \alpha(\xi_L) \neq 0$, $\partial_R \beta(\xi_R) \neq 0$, as well as under the parity transformation

$$\xi_L \rightarrow \xi_R, \quad \xi_R \rightarrow \xi_L. \quad (2.12)$$

Let us note that the invariance properties (2.9)–(2.12) are naturally reproduced on the level of Euler–Lagrange equations.

By variation of the action (2.6) respecting the constraint

$$X^\dagger X = \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \delta X^\dagger X + X^\dagger \delta X = 0, \quad \partial_\mu X^\dagger X + X \partial_\mu \delta X = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

and assuming that due to suitable boundary conditions the boundary terms vanish we find the Euler–Lagrange equations

$$P(\partial_L \partial_R X - 2\partial_\mu X X^\dagger \partial^\mu X) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

They can be also expressed in the matrix form

$$[\partial_L \partial_R P, P] = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

or in the form of a conservation law

$$\partial_L [\partial_R P, P] + \partial_R [\partial_L P, P] = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Methods for finding special solutions of (2.14), e.g. soliton solutions, are known [16, 17].

By explicit calculation one can check that the real-valued functions

$$J_L = \text{tr}(\partial_L X \partial_L X^\dagger P), \quad J_R = \text{tr}(\partial_R X \partial_R X^\dagger P) \quad (2.17)$$

satisfy

$$\partial_L J_R = \partial_R J_L = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

for any solution X of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14). The functions J_L, J_R are invariant under local $SU(m)$ and global $SU(N)$ transformations (2.9) and (2.10).

3 Surfaces obtained from Grassmannian sigma model

Let us now discuss the analytical description of a two-dimensional smooth orientable surface $\mathcal{F}$ immersed in the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra, associated with the Grassmannian sigma model (2.14). We shall construct an exact $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -valued 1-form whose “potential” 0-form defines the surface $\mathcal{F}$. Next, we shall investigate the geometric characteristics of the surface $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us introduce a scalar product

$$(A, B) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr } AB$$

on $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ and identify the $(N^2 - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space with the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra

$$\mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} \simeq \mathfrak{su}(N).$$

We denote

$$M_L = [\partial_L P, P], \quad M_R = [\partial_R P, P]. \quad (3.1)$$

It follows from (2.16) that if X is a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14) then

$$\partial_L M_R + \partial_R M_L = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

We identify tangent vectors to the surface $\mathcal{F}$ with the matrices M_L and M_R , as follows

$$\mathcal{Z}_L = M_L, \quad \mathcal{Z}_R = -M_R. \quad (3.3)$$

Equation (3.2) implies there exists a closed $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -valued 1-form on Ω

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_L d\xi_L + \mathcal{Z}_R d\xi_R, \quad d\mathcal{Z} = 0.$$

Because $\mathcal{Z}$ is closed and Ω is connected and simply connected, $\mathcal{Z}$ is also exact. In other words, there exists a well-defined $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -valued function Z on Ω such that $\mathcal{Z} = dZ$. The matrix function Z is unique up to addition of any constant element of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ and we identify the components of Z with the coordinates of the sought-after surface $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N^2-1}$. Consequently, we get

$$\partial_L Z = \mathcal{Z}_L, \quad \partial_R Z = \mathcal{Z}_R. \quad (3.4)$$

The map Z is called the Weierstrass formula for immersion. In practice, the surface $\mathcal{F}$ is found by integration

$$\mathcal{F} : Z(\xi_L, \xi_R) = \int_{\gamma(\xi_L, \xi_R)} \mathcal{Z} \quad (3.5)$$

along any curve $\gamma(\xi_L, \xi_R)$ in Ω connecting the point $(\xi_L, \xi_R) \in \Omega$ with an arbitrary chosen point $(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0) \in \Omega$.

By computation of traces of $\mathcal{Z}_B, \mathcal{Z}_D$, $B, D = L, R$ we find the components of the induced metric on the surface $\mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \begin{pmatrix} G_{LL} & G_{LR} \\ G_{LR} & G_{RR} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} J_L & -\text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger + \partial_R X \partial_L X^\dagger}{2} P \right) \\ -\text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger + \partial_R X \partial_L X^\dagger}{2} P \right) & J_R \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The first fundamental form of the surface $\mathcal{F}$ takes surprisingly compact form

$$\begin{aligned} I &= J_L (d\xi_L)^2 - 2G_{LR} d\xi_L d\xi_R + J_R (d\xi_R)^2 \\ &= (2\delta_{B,D} - 1) \text{tr} (\partial_B X \partial_D X^\dagger P) d\xi_B d\xi_D \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where summation over repeated indices $B, D = L, R$ applies and $\delta_{B,D} = 1$ if $B = D$ and 0 otherwise.

In order to establish conditions on a solution X of the Euler-Lagrange equations (2.14) under which the surface exists, we introduce a scalar product on the space of $N \times m$ matrices X

$$(b, a) = \text{tr} (a b^\dagger), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times m}$$

and employ the Schwarz inequality, i.e.

$$|\text{tr} (a b^\dagger A)|^2 \leq \text{tr} (a a^\dagger A) \text{tr} (b b^\dagger A) \quad (3.8)$$

valid for any positive hermitean operator A , namely for $P : P(a) = P.a$. We may write

$$J_D = \text{tr} (\partial_D X \partial_D X^\dagger P) \geq 0, \quad D = L, R \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\det G = \text{tr} (\partial_L X \partial_L X^\dagger P) \text{tr} (\partial_R X \partial_R X^\dagger P) - \left(\Re \text{tr} (\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger P) \right)^2 \geq 0 \quad (3.10)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(\partial_L X \partial_L X^\dagger P) \operatorname{tr}(\partial_R X \partial_R X^\dagger P) &\geq |\operatorname{tr}(\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger P)|^2 \\ &\geq \left(\Re \operatorname{tr}(\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger P) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the first fundamental form I defined by (3.7) is positive for any solution X of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14).

Analyzing the cases when equalities in Schwarz inequality hold we find that I is positive definite in the point (ξ_L^0, ξ_R^0) either if the inequality

$$\Im \operatorname{tr}(\partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger P) \neq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

holds in (ξ_L^0, ξ_R^0) or if the matrices

$$\partial_L X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0), \partial_R X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0), X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0) \quad (3.12)$$

are linearly independent. Therefore any of the conditions (3.11), (3.12) is a sufficient condition for the existence of the surface $\mathcal{F}$ associated with the solution X of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14) in the vicinity of the point (ξ_L^0, ξ_R^0) .

Using (3.6) we can write the formula for Gaussian curvature [18] as

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J_L J_R - G_{LR}^2}} \partial_R \left(\frac{\partial_L G_{LR} - \frac{1}{2} G_{LR} \partial_L (\ln J_L)}{\sqrt{J_L J_R - G_{LR}^2}} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

4 The Gauss–Weingarten equations

Now we may formally determine a moving frame on the surface $\mathcal{F}$ and write the Gauss–Weingarten equations. Let X be a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14) such that $\det(G)$ is not zero in a neighborhood of a regular point (ξ_L^0, ξ_R^0) in Ω . Assume also that the surface $\mathcal{F}$ (3.5), associated with these equations is described by the moving frame

$$\vec{\tau} = (\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z, n_3, \dots, n_{N^2-1})^T,$$

where the vectors $\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z, n_3, \dots, n_{N^2-1}$ satisfy the normalization conditions

$$(\partial_L Z, \partial_L Z) = J_L, (\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z) = G_{LR}, (\partial_R Z, \partial_R Z) = J_R,$$

$$(\partial_L Z, n_k) = (\partial_R Z, n_k) = 0, (n_j, n_k) = \delta_{jk}. \quad (4.1)$$

We now show that the moving frame satisfies the Gauss–Weingarten equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_L \partial_L Z &= A_L^L \partial_L Z + A_R^L \partial_R Z + Q_j^L n_j, \\ \partial_L \partial_R Z &= H_j n_j, \\ \partial_L n_j &= \alpha_j^L \partial_L Z + \beta_j^L \partial_R Z + s_{jk}^L n_k, \\ \partial_R \partial_L Z &= H_j n_j, \\ \partial_R \partial_R Z &= A_L^R \partial_L Z + A_R^R \partial_R Z + Q_j^R n_j, \\ \partial_R n_j &= \alpha_j^R \partial_L Z + \beta_j^R \partial_R Z + s_{jk}^R n_k, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $s_{jk}^L + s_{kj}^L = 0$, $s_{jk}^R + s_{kj}^R = 0$, $j, k = 3, \dots, N^2 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j^L &= \frac{H_j G_{LR} - Q_j^L J_R}{\det G}, \quad \beta_j^L = \frac{Q_j^L G_{LR} - H_j J_L}{\det G}, \\ \alpha_j^R &= \frac{Q_j^R G_{LR} - H_j J_R}{\det G}, \quad \beta_j^R = \frac{H_j G_{LR} - Q_j^R J_L}{\det G}, \end{aligned}$$

and A_L^L, A_R^L (A_L^R, A_R^R have similar form which can be obtained by exchange $L \leftrightarrow R$) are written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_L^L &= \frac{1}{\det G} (J_R(\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_L Z) - G_{LR}(\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_R Z)) \\ A_R^L &= \frac{1}{\det G} (J_L(\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_R Z) - G_{LR}(\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_L Z)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_L Z) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left((\partial_L \partial_L X \partial_L X^\dagger + \partial_L X \partial_L \partial_L X^\dagger) P \right), \\ (\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_R Z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left((\partial_L \partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger + \partial_R X \partial_L \partial_L X^\dagger) P \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \partial_L X \partial_L X^\dagger (X \partial_R X^\dagger + \partial_R X X^\dagger) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that in fact we can write it in a compact way

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_B \partial_B Z, \partial_D Z) &= (\delta_{B,D} - \frac{1}{2}) \text{tr} \left((\partial_B \partial_B X \partial_D X^\dagger + \partial_D X \partial_B \partial_B X^\dagger) P \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \partial_B X \partial_B X^\dagger (X \partial_D X^\dagger + \partial_D X X^\dagger) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The explicit form of the coefficients H_j, Q_j^D (where $D = L, R; j = 3, \dots, N^2-1$) depends on the chosen orthonormal basis $\{n_3, \dots, n_{N^2-1}\}$ of the normal space to the surface $\mathcal{F}$ at the point $X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0)$. Partial information about them will be obtained in (5.6).

Indeed, if $\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z$ are defined by (3.4) for an arbitrary solution X of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14), then by straightforward calculation using (2.15) one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_L \partial_R Z &= \partial_R \partial_L Z = [\partial_L P, \partial_R P] = \\ &= \lambda - \lambda X X^\dagger - X X^\dagger \lambda + X(\partial_L X^\dagger \partial_R X - \partial_R X^\dagger \partial_L X) X^\dagger\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \partial_L X \partial_R X^\dagger - \partial_R X \partial_L X^\dagger.$$

By computing

$$\text{tr}(\partial_L \partial_R Z \cdot \partial_D Z) = \pm \text{tr}([\partial_L P, \partial_R P] \cdot [\partial_D P, P]) = 0, \quad D = L, R \quad (4.6)$$

we conclude that $\partial_L \partial_R Z$ is perpendicular to the surface $\mathcal{F}$ and consequently it has the form given in (4.2).

The remaining relations in (4.2) and (4.3) follow as differential consequences from the assumed normalizations of the normals (4.1), e.g.

$$(n_j, n_k) = 0, \quad j \neq k$$

which gives

$$0 = (\partial_L n_j, n_k) + (\partial_L n_k, n_j) = s_{jk}^L + s_{kj}^L.$$

Similarly

$$(n_j, \partial_L Z) = 0, \quad (n_j, \partial_R Z) = 0$$

by differentiation leads to

$$(\partial_R n_j, \partial_L Z) + (n_j, \partial_L \partial_R Z) = 0, \quad (\partial_R n_j, \partial_R Z) + (n_j, \partial_R \partial_R Z) = 0$$

implying

$$J_L \alpha_j^R + G_{LR} \beta_j^R + H_j = 0, \quad G_{LR} \alpha_j^R + J_R \beta_j^R + Q_j^R = 0.$$

Consequently, α_j^R, β_j^R can be determined in terms of H_j, Q_j^R and of the components of the induced metric G . The remaining coefficients α_j^L, β_j^L are derived in an analogous way by exchanging indices $L \leftrightarrow R$ in the successive differentiations.

The coefficients $A_L^L, \dots, A_R^R$ are obtained by requiring that $(\partial_D \partial_D Z - A_L^D \partial_L Z - A_R^D \partial_R Z)$ is normal to the surface, i.e.

$$\text{tr} (\partial_B Z. (\partial_D \partial_D Z - A_L^D \partial_L Z - A_R^D \partial_R Z)) = 0, \quad B, D = L, R. \quad (4.7)$$

From (3.1) and (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_L \partial_L Z &= [\partial_L \partial_L P, P] \\ &= \partial_L \partial_L X X^\dagger - X X^\dagger \partial_L \partial_L X X^\dagger + X \partial_L \partial_L X^\dagger X X^\dagger \\ &\quad - X \partial_L \partial_L X^\dagger + 2X \partial_L X^\dagger X \partial_L X^\dagger - 2\partial_L X X^\dagger \partial_L X X^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

the expression for $\partial_R \partial_R Z$ is obtained by the change of the overall sign and $L \leftrightarrow R$. After substituting the above expressions into (4.7) we solve the resulting linear equations for A_B^D .

Let us note that the Gauss–Weingarten equations (4.2) can be written equivalently in the $N \times N$ matrix form

$$\partial_L \vec{\tau} = U \vec{\tau}, \quad \partial_R \vec{\tau} = V \vec{\tau}, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \begin{pmatrix} A_L^L & A_R^L & Q_3^L & \dots & Q_{N^2-1}^L \\ 0 & 0 & H_3 & \dots & H_{N^2-1} \\ \alpha_3^L & \beta_3^L & s_{33}^L & \dots & s_{3(N^2-1)}^L \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{(N^2-1)}^L & \beta_{(N^2-1)}^L & s_{(N^2-1)3}^L & \dots & s_{(N^2-1)(N^2-1)}^L \end{pmatrix}, \\ V &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & H_3 & \dots & H_{N^2-1} \\ A_L^R & A_R^R & Q_3^R & \dots & Q_{N^2-1}^R \\ \alpha_3^R & \beta_3^R & s_{33}^R & \dots & s_{3(N^2-1)}^R \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{(N^2-1)}^R & \beta_{(N^2-1)}^R & s_{(N^2-1)3}^R & \dots & s_{(N^2-1)(N^2-1)}^R \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The Gauss–Codazzi–Ricci equations

$$\partial_R U - \partial_L V + [U, V] = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

are compatibility conditions for the Gauss–Weingarten equations (4.2). They are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the local existence of the corresponding surface $\mathcal{F}$. It can be easily checked that they are identically satisfied for any solution X of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14).

The second fundamental form and the mean curvature vector of the surface $\mathcal{F}$ at the regular point p can be expressed, according to [19, 20], as

$$\mathbf{II} = (\partial_L \partial_L Z)^\perp d\xi_L d\xi_L + 2(\partial_L \partial_R Z)^\perp d\xi_L d\xi_R + (\partial_R \partial_R Z)^\perp d\xi_R d\xi_R \quad (4.12)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\det G} \left(J_R (\partial_L \partial_L Z)^\perp - 2G_{LR} (\partial_L \partial_R Z)^\perp + J_L (\partial_R \partial_R Z)^\perp \right) \quad (4.13)$$

where $(\)^\perp$ denotes the normal part of the vector. In our case the expressions (4.12), (4.13) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{II} &= (Q_j^L d\xi_L d\xi_L + 2H_j d\xi_L d\xi_R + Q_j^R d\xi_R d\xi_R) n_j \\ &= (\partial_L \partial_L Z - A_L^L \partial_L Z - A_R^L \partial_R Z) d\xi_L d\xi_L + 2(\partial_L \partial_R Z) d\xi_L d\xi_R + \\ &\quad + (\partial_R \partial_R Z - A_L^R \partial_L Z - A_R^R \partial_R Z) d\xi_R d\xi_R, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &= \frac{1}{\det G} (J_R Q_j^L - 2G_{LR} H_j + J_L Q_j^R) n_j \\ &= \frac{1}{\det G} (J_R (\partial_L \partial_L Z - A_L^L \partial_L Z - A_R^L \partial_R Z) - 2G_{LR} (\partial_L \partial_R Z) + \\ &\quad + J_L (\partial_R \partial_R Z - A_L^R \partial_L Z - A_R^R \partial_R Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The derivatives $\partial_D \partial_B Z$ are expressed explicitly in terms of X in equations (4.6) and (4.8) but after substitution of them into (4.14), (4.15) get rather complicated, therefore we do not present them here.

5 The moving frame of a surface in the algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$

Now we proceed to construct the moving frame of the surface $\mathcal{F}$ immersed in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra, i.e. matrices $\partial_L X, \partial_R X, n_a$, $a = 3, \dots, N^2 - 1$ satisfying (4.1).

Let X be a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.14) and let (ξ_L^0, ξ_R^0) be a regular point in Ω , i.e. such that $\det G(X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0)) \neq 0$. Let us denote $X^0 = X(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0)$, $Z^0 = Z(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0)$. Taking into account that

$$\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(\Phi A \Phi^\dagger), \quad A \in \mathfrak{su}(N), \quad \Phi \in SU(N),$$

we employ the adjoint representation of the group $SU(N)$ in order to bring $\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z, n_a$ to the simplest form possible. We shall request

$$X^0 = \Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{m \times m} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times m} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

The existence of such Φ follows from the fact that $G(m, n)$ is a homogeneous space (2.1). In fact Φ is just any representant in $SU(N)$ of the equivalence class $[X^0]$ such that

$$X^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{11} & \dots & \Phi_{1m} \\ & \dots & \\ \Phi_{N1} & \dots & \Phi_{Nm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad [X^0] = [\Phi]$$

and consequently Φ is not unique. Explicit (local) construction of Φ can be performed algorithmically in such a way that Φ varies smoothly with smooth change of X^0 (for the detailed explanation in the case $G(m, 1) = \mathbb{C}P^m$ see [7]).

Let us choose an orthonormal basis in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{jk})_{ab} &= i(\delta_{ja}\delta_{kb} + \delta_{jb}\delta_{ka}), \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq N, \\ (B_{jk})_{ab} &= (\delta_{ja}\delta_{kb} - \delta_{jb}\delta_{ka}), \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq N, \\ (C_p)_{ab} &= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{p(p+1)}} \left(\sum_{d=1}^p \delta_{da}\delta_{db} - p\delta_{p+1,a}\delta_{p+1,b} \right), \quad 1 \leq p \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Using the definition of $\partial_D Z$ (3.4) we find that $\Phi^\dagger \partial_D Z(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0) \Phi$ has the following block structure

$$\partial_D^\Phi Z^0 \equiv \Phi^\dagger \partial_D Z(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0) \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{m \times m} & -\partial_D^\Phi Z^\dagger \\ \partial_D^\Phi Z & \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

(where $\partial_D^\Phi Z$ are defined by (5.3)).

When Φ satisfying (5.1) is found, the construction of the moving frame can proceed as follows. Assume that one finds, using a variant of Gramm-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure, the orthonormal vectors

$$\tilde{A}_{aj}, \tilde{B}_{aj}, \quad a = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = m+1, \dots, N, \quad a+j > m+2$$

satisfying

$$(\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{A}_{aj}) = 0, \quad (\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{B}_{bj}) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}(\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{A}_{aj}, \tilde{B}_{aj})_{D=L,R, a=1, \dots, m, j=m+2, \dots, N, a+j > m+2} = \\ \text{span}(A_{aj}, B_{aj})_{a=1, \dots, m, j=m+1, \dots, N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

We identify the remaining tilded and untilded matrices

$$\tilde{A}_{jk} = A_{jk}, \quad \tilde{B}_{jk} = B_{jk}, \quad \tilde{C}_p = C_p,$$

where $a, j = 1, \dots, m$ or $a, j = m + 1, \dots, N$, $1 \leq p \leq N - 1$. As a result, from Gramm-Schmidt orthogonalization and (5.3) we get

$$(\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{A}_{ak}) = (\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{B}_{ak}) = (\partial_D^\Phi Z^0, \tilde{C}_p) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A}_{ai}, \tilde{A}_{bk}) &= (\tilde{B}_{ai}, \tilde{A}_{bk}) = \delta_{ab}\delta_{ik}, (\tilde{C}_p, \tilde{C}_q) = \delta_{pq}, \\ (\tilde{A}_{1i}, \tilde{B}_{jk}) &= (\tilde{A}_{1i}, \tilde{C}_p) = (\tilde{B}_{1i}, \tilde{C}_p) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(where indices run through all the values for which the respective tilded matrices are defined).

Therefore, under the above given assumptions and notation, we can state the following

Proposition 1 *Let the moving frame of the surface $\mathcal{F}$ in the neighborhood Υ of point $Z^0 = Z(\xi_L^0, \xi_R^0)$ be*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_L Z &= \Phi \partial_L^\Phi Z \Phi^\dagger, \\ \partial_R Z &= \Phi \partial_R^\Phi Z \Phi^\dagger, \\ n_{jk}^A &= \Phi \tilde{A}_{jk} \Phi^\dagger, \\ n_{jk}^B &= \Phi \tilde{B}_{jk} \Phi^\dagger, \\ n_p^C &= \Phi \tilde{C}_p \Phi^\dagger. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where indices run through the values for which $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}$ are defined and $\Phi(\xi_L, \xi_R)$ on Υ satisfies

$$X(\xi_L, \xi_R) = \Phi(\xi_L, \xi_R) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{m \times m} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (5.5) satisfies the normalization conditions (4.1) and consequently the Gauss–Weingarten equations (4.2).

Note that the first two lines of (5.5) are equivalent to (5.3). The remaining lines of (5.5) give a rather explicit description of normals to the surface $\mathcal{F}$. Since the construction is local, we don't have an apriori control of the orientation of normals. Of course, in the neighborhood where the procedure is applied the normals have the same orientation.

The explicit form of the moving frame (5.5) might be quite complicated because of the orthogonalization process involved in the construction of

$$n_{aj}^A, n_{aj}^B, \quad a = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = m + 1, \dots, N, \quad a + j > m + 2$$

(i.e. in the construction of $\tilde{A}_{1j}, \tilde{B}_{1j}$). On the other hand, the remaining normals

$$n_{ak}^A, n_{ak}^B, n_p^C$$

where $a, j = 1, \dots, m$ or $a, j = m+1, \dots, N$, $1 \leq p \leq N-1$ can be constructed immediately after finding Φ .¹

If we choose other group element Φ satisfying (5.1), the constructed normals would have been rotated by a local (gauge) transformation from the subgroup of $SU(N)$ leaving $\partial_L Z, \partial_R Z$ invariant.

It is worth noting that from the equations (4.6), (4.8) immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_L \partial_L Z)^\perp, (\partial_R \partial_R Z)^\perp &\in \text{span}(n_{1j}^A, n_{1j}^B)_{a=1, \dots, m, j=m+1, \dots, N}, \\ (\partial_L \partial_R Z)^\perp = \partial_L \partial_R Z &\in \text{span}(n_{jk}^A, n_{jk}^B, n_p^C)_{a,j=1, \dots, m, \text{ or } a,j=m+1, \dots, N, p < N}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

i.e. $\partial_L \partial_R Z$ is orthogonal to $\partial_L \partial_L Z, \partial_R \partial_R Z$ (and also to the surface, see (4.2)).

6 Final remarks

The technique presented above for finding surfaces associated with complex Grassmannian sigma models defined on Minkowski space can be seen to give a rather detailed analytical description of the surfaces in question. This description provides effective tools for constructing surfaces without reference to additional considerations, proceeding directly from the given complex Grassmannian sigma model equations (2.14). Through the use of Cartan's language of moving frames we derived via this sigma model the structural equations of two-dimensional smooth surfaces immersed in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra. It allows to find the first and second fundamental forms of the surfaces as well as the relations between them as expressed in the Gauss–Weingarten and Gauss–Codazzi–Ricci equations. An extension of the classical Enneper–Weierstrass representation of surfaces in multidimensional spaces, expressed in terms of any nonsingular solution of (2.14), was presented in explicit form.

¹In fact, one particular combination of normals n_p^C of the form

$$n_P = i\sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{N-m}{mN}} \mathbf{1} - \sqrt{\frac{N-m}{m(N-m)}} P \right)$$

can be constructed from X , i.e. P , alone, without the knowledge of corresponding Φ .

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by research grants from NSERC of Canada. Libor Šnobl acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship awarded by the Laboratory of Mathematical Physics of the CRM, Université de Montréal. The authors thank Pavel Winternitz for helpful and interesting discussions on the topic of this paper.

References

- [1] Ablowitz, M., Chakravarty, S. and Halburd, R., *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 3147-3173 (2003).
- [2] David, F., Ginsparg, P. and Zinn-Justin, Y. (editors), *Fluctuating Geometries in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory*, Elsevier (Amsterdam, 1996).
- [3] Nelson, D., Piran, T. and Weinberg, S., *Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Surfaces*, World Scientific (Singapore, 1992).
- [4] Charvolin, J., Joanny, J.F., Zinn-Justin, J., *Liquids at Interfaces*, Elsevier (Amsterdam, 1989).
- [5] Ou-Yang, Z., Lui, J. and Xie, Y., *Geometric Methods in Elastic Theory of Membranes in Liquid Crystal Phases*, World Scientific (Singapore, 1999).
- [6] Safran, S.A., *Statistical Thermodynamics of Surfaces, Interfaces and Membranes*, Addison-Wesley (New York, 1994).
- [7] A. M. Grundland and L. Šnobl, Description of surfaces associated with $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma models on Minkowski space, submitted for publication, [math.DG/0405513].
- [8] Grundland, A.M. and Šnobl, L., Surfaces in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra via $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}$ sigma models on Minkowski space, *Proceedings of XI International Conference on Symmetry Methods in Physics*, (Prague 2004), in press.
- [9] Sasaki, R., *Phys. Lett* **130B**, 69–72 (1983).
- [10] Din, A.M., Nonlinear technique in two dimensional Grassmannian sigma models, *Lecture Notes Math.* 1139 (1983) 253-262.
- [11] Gross, D.J., Piran, T. and Weinberg, S., *Two-dimensional quantum gravity and random surfaces*, World Scientific (Singapore, 1992).

- [12] Barrett, J., Gibbons, G.W., Perry, M.J., and Ruback, P., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** 1457-1493 (1994).
- [13] Brezin, E., Izykson, C., Zinn-Justin, J. and Zuber, J.B., *Phys. Lett.* **82B** 442 (1979).
- [14] Luscher, M. and Pohlmeier, K., *Nucl.Phys.* **B137** 46 (1978).
- [15] Koikawa, T. and Sasaki, R., *Phys. Lett.* **B124** 85 (1983).
- [16] Piette, B., *J. Math. Phys.* **29**, 2190–2196 (1988).
- [17] Jacques, M. and Saint-Aubin, Y., *J. Math. Phys.* **28**, 2463–2479 (1987).
- [18] do Carmo, M. P., *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhäuser (Boston, 1992).
- [19] Kobayashi S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundation of Differential Geometry*, John Wiley (New York, 1963).
- [20] Willmore, T.J., *Riemannian Geometry*, Clarendon (Oxford, 1993).